

Surface Water Quality Division Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909

April 17, 1986

Total Petroleum East Superior Street Alma, Michigan 48802

Attention:

Patrick Lincoln

Ben White

Bernard Shaver

Re: Compliance Sampling Inspection NPDES Permit No. MI0001066

Gentlemen:

Enclosed please find a copy of a sampling survey conducted at the Total Petroleum facility in Alma by staff of the Lansing District, Surface Water Quality Division on October 1-2, 1985.

On the day of the survey the company met all the parameters in their NPDES permit for their treated process water (See Table 3). There was no rain on the days of the survey, so storm water was not included in Table 3.

When the survey results are compared to the numbers submitted on the October Monthly Operating Reports (Table 3) and when the split sample results (Table 4) are compared, there are some discrepancies. The reason for the significant discrepancies in the suspended solids results was discovered to be the result of calculation errors. These calculation errors were documented by Mr. Art Gedeon of the U.S. EPA on December 3, 1985. Mr. Gedeon conducted a Performance Audit Inspection at the Total Petroleum laboratory. The method of calculation has been changed and thus this discrepancy is considered resolved.

However, Mr. Gedeon's audit of laboratory methods did not indicate any calculation problems or laboratory methodology deficiencies for BOD₅ or phenol. For the DNR Compliance Sampling Survey there are significant discrepancies for these two parameters in both the split samples and in the sample results reported by the company on their MOR's and the results obtained by the survey crew.

Total Petroleum April 17, 1986 Page 2

The Environmental Protection Bureau laboratory reports a higher value for BOD, at 52 lbs/day, while the Total Petroleum laboratory reports 22 lbs/day on the Monthly Operating Report (Table 3). For the split sample for BOD, (Table 4), the company reports a higher value at 15.5 mg/l, while the Environmental Protection Bureau reports 9.9 mg/l.

For the split sample for phenol, the Environmental Protection Bureau reports 27 mg/l, and the Total Petroleum laboratory reports 19 mg/l.

Please address these discrepancies for BOD₅ and phenol, and let this office know what corrective actions you are taking within three weeks of receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact our office.

Sincerely,

SURFACE WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Bryan D. Morris, P.E., Supervisor Lansing District

By:

Gloria J. Taylor Environmental Quality Analyst 517-322-1687

BDM/GJT/slp

Enclosure

cc: U.S. EPA - Region V

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION BUREAU SURFACE WATER QUALITY DIVISION

Report of an Industrial Wastewater Survey
Conducted at
TOTAL PETROLEUM
All Outfalls No. 290006
NPDES Permit No. MI0001066
Gratiot County
Alma, Michigan
October 1-2, 1985

Survey Summary

Wastewater monitoring was performed during one twenty-four hour survey period starting October 1, 1985.

Survey Procedure

The flows and samples were obtained as follows:

Sample Description	Flow Measurement	Sampling Method
290024(001) Collected just up from 90° V-notch weir.	Company 90° V-notch weir and staff installed water level recorder.	Automatic air- activated composite sampler and individual grab samples.

A water level recorder provides a continuous account of the liquid level or head above the crest of a weir or through a flume. A head versus time graph is obtained for the duration of the survey period. The total volume of wastewater over the weir or through the flume during the survey period is computed from the graph.

An automatic sampler composites samples at timed intervals. Samples may be proportional to the instantaneous flow over the weir or through the flume.

Extractable organic and sulfide composite samples are collected by the grab composite method.

A grab composite consists of a series of individual grabs composited into one sample.

An individual grab is a single instantaneous sample.

Samples were analyzed by the Environmental Protection Bureau Laboratories located in Lansing.

Samples were preserved according to Table 5. The results of the physical, chemical, and bacteriological analyses are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Letter codes for laboratory results are defined in Table 5. A parameter listing for the organic scans is presented in Table 6. Unless otherwise specified, all parameters in the scan were analyzed.

Table 1 - Analyses of composite samples.

Outfalls Survey Period From To Computed Flow Rate (MGD)	10-1-8 10-2-8	4(001) 5 1045 5 1045 .57)
Computed Flow Mate (Mab)	mg/l	lbs/day
Suspended solids Dissolved solids	10 2400	48 11400
BOD ₅ CBOD ₅	12 11.	57 52
COD	120 28.	570 133
Nitrite & nitrate nitrogen-N Ammonia nitrogen-N Organic nitrogen-N Kjeldahl nitrogen-N Total phosphorus-P	0.11 DS 15. 3.3 18.0 0.16 DS	0.52 71 15.7 86 0.8
Sulfide	0.04	0.2
	ug/l	lbs/day
Phenols Total cadmium (Cd) Total chromium (Cr) Hexavalent chromium (Cr+6) Total copper (Cu) Total iron (Fe) Total nickel (Ni) Total lead (Pb) Total zinc (Zn)	20 <20 <50 <5 <20 545 90 <50	0.1 3 0.4 0.4

Flow rates used in the computation of lbs/day. Figure shown in () obtained from company/plant MOR/totalizer.

Table 1 - Continued

Outfalls	290024(001)

	ug/l	lbs/day
SCAN 3 - Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Polychlorinated Biphenyl, and Organochlorine Pesticides		
g-BHC (lindane)	.<0.01	
2-Chloronaphthalene	<0.1	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<0.1	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	<0.1	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	<0.1	
Hexachlorobenzene	<0.01	
Hexachlorobutadiene	<0.01	
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	<0.01	
Hexachloroethane	<0.01	
Octachlorocyclopentene	<0.01	
Pentachloronitrobenzene	<0.01	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<0.01	
Others	<0.01	
Aroclor 1242	<0.1	
Aroclor 1254	<0.1	
Aroclor 1260	<0.1	
Aldrin	<0.01	
BP-6 (PBB)	<0.01	
a-Chlordane	<0.01	
g-Chlordane	<0.01	
4,4'-DDD	<0.01	
4,4'-DDE	<0.01	
1,4'-DDT	<0.01	
4,4'-DDT	<0.01	
Heptachlor	<0.01	
Heptachlor epoxide	<0.01	
Hexabromobenzene	<0.01	~
Methoxychlor	<0.01	
Mirex	<0.01	
Others	<0.01	

Table 2 - Analyses of grab samples.

Date	Time	Temp. 1	<u>eH</u> 1 S.U	Susy Sol mg	<u>ids</u>	Diss. <u>Solids</u> mg/l	Diss. Oxygen mg/l	BOD mg/1	CBOD mg/l
290024(001)								
10-1-85 10-2-85		58 50	7.1 7.2			2400 2400	7.5 7.5	11 9.9	8.1 8.4
Date 240024(Time	COD mg/l	TOC mg/l	Nitri Nitro Nitro mg/	ate gen-N	Ammon Nitro mg/	gen Ni	ganic trogen mg/l	Kjeldahl <u>Nitrogen</u> mg/l
		100		0.44	20	4 =			4 55
10-1-85 10-2-85		120 130	28. 27.	0.11 0.12		15. 15.		2.0 5.1	17. 20.
<u>Date</u>	Time	Tota <u>Phosph</u> mg/	orus	Sulfid mg/l		nenols ng/l	Total <u>Cadmium</u> ug/l	Tota Chrom ug/	ium
240024	001)				,				
10-1-85 10-2-85		0.14 0.16		0.03 0.03		25 27	<20 <20	<50 <50	
<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	Hexava Chrom ug/	<u>ium</u>	Total Copper ug/l	Total Nicke ug/l	el Lead	Zinc	Total Iron ug/l	O & G Grav. mg/l
2400240	(001)								
10-1-85 10-2-85		<5 <5		<20 <20	75 75	<50 <50		525 480	4.2 3.2

^{1 -} Values determined in the field at time of sampling.

Table 2 - (Continued)

SCAN 1 - Purgeable Halocarbons

<u>Date</u>	Time	1,2 Dichloro- ethane ug/l	Trichloro- ethane ug/l	1,1,1 Tri- chloroethane ug/l	Others ug/l
290024(001)				·
10-1-85 10-2-85		<18 INT <1	<1 INT <1	<1 <7 INT	<1 <1
<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>	SCAN 2 - 1	Purgeable Aromat: ug/l	ic Hydrocarbons	
290024(001)				
10-1-85 10-2-85			<5 <5		
			hlorinated Hydro iphenyl, & Organo		
<u>Date</u>	Time		Other Aroclors, Dichlorobenzenes -Chloronaphthale ug/l		
290024(001)				
10-1-85		<0.14 BLK	<0.1	<0.01	<0.01

Table 3 - Comparison of survey results with the facility's NPDES Permit and Monthly Operating Report.

Parameter (Unit)		nterim Permit itations Daily	Octobe Monthly	r Monthly Monthly	Operating	Report	Survey Results 1
290024(001)	Average	•	Average	Maximum	10-1-85	10-2-85	
Flow MGD		0.54	0.454	0.743	0.642	0.533	0.57
CBOD ₅ mg/l 1bs/day			28	 51		22	11(8.1, 8.4) 52
BOD ₅ mg/l lbs/day	283	510	42	82	38	69	12(11, 9.9) 57
Ammonia Nitrogen mg/l lbs/day	146	- 321	62	83		 69	15(15, 15) 71
Suspended Solids mg/l lbs/day	 175	 296	 61	113	 67	 78	10(4, 4) 48
Dissolved Oxygen mg/l			9.1	10.3		7.7	(7.5, 7.5)
COD mg/l lbs/day	 1870	3600	438	669	 567	515	120 570
Oil & Grease mg/l lbs/day	 82	10 154	2.8 10	6.4 22	3.6 19	5.0 22	(4.2, 3.2)
Phenol ug/l lbs/day	 1.7	3.6	0.042	0.084	0.064	0.084	20(25, 27) 0.1
Sulfide mg/l lbs/day	1.49	3.25	0.045	 0.115		0.115	0.04(0.03, 0.03) 0.2
Hex. Chromium ug/l lbs/day	0.15	0.34	< 0.021	0.03	< 0.027	< 0.022	<5(<5, <5)
Total Chromium ug/l lbs/day	1.86	5.34	< 0.408		< 0.535	< 0.444	50(50, 50)
Benzene mg/l		0.3	< 0.001				(<0.005, <0.005)
pH (S.U.)	Not <6.0	Nor >9.0	MIN	7.4 6.4	7.0	6.9	(7.1, 7.2)

^{1 -} Survey results are for the composite sample. Grab sample results are shown in parentheses (). Flows in excess of 0.54 MGD are assumed to be stormwater and are permitted as such.

Table 4 - Comparison of the laboratory analytical results obtained by Total Petroleum and the Environmental Protection Bureau from the split grab sample.

Outfall Sample Date & Time	290024(001) 10-2-85 0840		
	Total mg/l	E.P.B. mg/l	
Suspended Solids	17.5	4	
BOD ₅	15.5	9.9	
Ammonia Nitrogen	15.5	15.	
COD	116	130	
Sulfide	0.026	0.03	
•	ug/l	ug/l	
Phenol	19	27	

<u>Table 5</u> - Sample Preservation

Parameter	Preservative
COD/TOC/Phenol/Nutrients (Chlorine Absent)	5 drops conc. $\mathrm{H_2SO_4/250}$ ml (to pH <2).
Phenols (Chlorine Present)	Dechlorinated w/ferrous ammonium sulfate (0.141 N). 1 drop/mg/l ${\rm Cl}_2/250$ ml. ${\rm H}_2{\rm SO}_4$ to pH <2.
Cyanide/Thiocyanates	Dechlorinate, if needed, with sodium thiosulfate. (1 drop 0.141 N/mg/l Cl ₂ /250 ml). 10 drops 10 N. NaOH (to pH ≥12)/250 ml.
D.O.	Fixed on site.
Total Metals	2 ml 1:1 $HNO_3/250$ ml (to pH <2).
Dissolved Metals (Field Filtered)	2 ml 1:1 $HNO_3/250$ ml (to pH <2).
Microbiology	2 drops 10% sodium thiosulfate/125 ml to dechlorinate sample.
Oil & Grease	10 drops conc. $H_2SO_4/250$ ml (to pH <2).
Sulfides	10 drops 1M ZnAc/250 ml.
Base-neutral Extractables Purgeable Organics	Dechlorinated, if needed, with sodium thiosulfate. (1 drop 0.141 N/mg/l Cl ₂ /250 ml).
Acid Extractables	Dechlorinated, if needed, with sodium thiosulfate. (1 drop 0.141 N/mg/l Cl ₂ /250 ml). 40 drops H ₂ SO ₄ /1000 ml (to pH <2).

Samples preserved as required, cooled to $4^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ with chain of custody maintained.

<u>Table 5</u> - (Continued)

Lab Letter Codes

- BK Reported value has been corrected for a laboratory blank was greater than half of the detection limit but less than half of the reported value.
- DS Sample was diluted due to other high values on a multichannel analytical system.
- UC No attempt has been made to confirm the identity of the reported compound by a second independent technique due to equipment or sample problems.
- BLK No value reported because the laboratory blank was greater than half of the detection limit and greater than half of the quantified value.
- INT Interference encountered during analysis resulted in no obtainable result.

Survey and Report by:

John Ecklund

Water Quality Technician Lansing District Office

Surface Water Quality Division Environmental Protection Bureau Department of Natural Resources

Gloria Taylor

Environmental Quality Analyst

Lansing District Office

Surface Water Quality Division Environmental Protection Bureau Department of Natural Resources

Certified Operator:

Bernard Shaver

Laboratory Analyses by:

Environmental Protection Bureau Laboratory